

# 6<sup>th</sup> Street Viaduct Seismic Improvement Project Presentation to the American Institute of Architects Los Angeles Chapter

---

## ATTENDEES

### AIA Urban Design Committee Members:

Nicci Solomons, AIA Executive Director  
Jennifer Gill, Consultant  
Amber Hawkes, Urban Designer  
Melani Smith, Melendrez  
Tony Chacon, Melendrez  
Scott Baker, Melendrez  
Mike Buhler, LA Conservancy  
Julia Stewart, City of LA Planner  
Deborah Weintraub, LA Bureau of Engineering  
Brian Bartholomew, Project Architect  
John Cuase, Urban Designer  
Deborah Kahen, City Of LA Planner

Meeting Date: 04/23/2008 7:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m.

Meeting Location: AIA Urban Design  
3780 Wilshire Blvd Suite 800 e  
Los Angeles, CA 90010

### Project Development Team:

Jim Wu, LABOE  
Glenda Silva, DSO  
Jeff Bingham, Parsons  
Anne Kochaon, Parsons  
Steve Thoman, David Evans and Associates, Inc.  
John Koo, LABOE  
Don MacDonald, MacDonald Architects

---

### Meeting Summary:

The meeting was held with 12 AIA attendees. The objective of the meeting was to give AIA members a historical background of LA River Bridges and update on the City's current bridge program, which includes the 6<sup>th</sup> St Viaduct Improvement project. The project development team made a presentation outlining the current 6<sup>th</sup> St Viaduct's seismic, geometric and material deficiencies and explaining the Alkali Silica Reaction (ASR) that is affecting the entire concrete structure.

Steve Thoman, bridge engineer, facilitated the meeting along with Jeff Bingham, who spoke about the history of the Los Angeles bridges, and John Koo who described the Bridge Improvement Program for the City of Los Angeles. Mr. Thoman explained the various design constraints and alignment screening results for each of the alternatives under consideration for the project. Mr. Bingham gave a summary of all the public outreach activities that have taken place for the project over the last year and half. The Community Advisory Committee process and involvement was explained in detail during the presentation. Don Macdonald, bridge architect, explained and described in depth all of the design concepts that were and are being considered if the 6<sup>th</sup> St Viaduct is replaced.

# 6<sup>th</sup> Street Viaduct Seismic Improvement Project

## Presentation to the American Institute of Architects Los Angeles Chapter

Meeting attendees were encouraged to ask questions at all times during the presentation.

### Questions and Comments:

Questions, comments, and input raised by the AIA members during the presentation are summarized below:

- What will happen to the communities along the length of the bridge?
- What is the City's approach for such long viaduct regarding the urban context?
- Has the City discussed development opportunities for the Eastside portion under the bridge?
- Is the cost of land acquisition included in the estimated cost of replacing the bridge?
- Is there a great difference in cost between the three alternatives that the team is proposing?
- Is the median being proposed for safety reasons?
- Is the median safer than a barrier or an emergency lane?
- Will the additional lanes serve as emergency lanes?
- Is the median more desirable than a curb because of current safety standards?
- What is the current posted speed on the bridge?
- Is the new bridge design wider than the existing bridge?
- The city is going to spend too much money to widen the bridge; the money should be used to make other types of improvements to the structure.
- Is widening the bridge a priority?
- Add the LA Curb link to the project website.
- Do you need to have a sidewalk on both sides of the bridge?
- Can the bridge have a sidewalk on only one side?
- What type of community outreach has the City conducted for this project?
- What is the feedback received?
- What is the estimated life of the bridge?
- What is the estimated life of all the bridges in the city?
- If the current bridge cannot be saved, is it cost effective to fix the current bridge or replace it with a new one?
- If the city is considering spending money on this bridge, is it cost effective to preserve the current bridge design?
- How many years of additional bridge life will a retrofit give you?
- What is the extent of wrapping the columns in steel and how does this work?
- Can the - iconic span of the bridge be preserved?
- What is the current condition of the steel arches?
- Architecturally speaking can the arches be saved?

# 6<sup>th</sup> Street Viaduct Seismic Improvement Project

## Presentation to the American Institute of Architects Los Angeles Chapter

- What is the present structural integrity of the arches?
- If the arches would be in perfect condition, would they be useful?
- Can the city reuse the arches by adaptive use?
- Relocate the arches to the new structure to celebrate the new and old elements of the bridge?
- Does the availability of funding affect the feasibility of alternatives and improvements?
- Is the cost of retrofit cheaper?
- Does the bridge have a safety record?
- How many accidents have occurred on the bridge?
- Do not replicate the bridge, identify certain current qualities and use them for new opportunities for the new structure.
- Historic elements should be preserved.
- We need to consider how much we are really willing to invest in preserving the current look of the bridge.
- Bureau of Engineering should consider all combinations of alternatives for the new design.
- If the new bridge design keeps some of the older elements, this will limit new design opportunities.
- The median is a waste of money.
- Who is going to gain from keeping current designs for the new structure?
- What is the value of this bridge compared to other bridges in the city?
- How sick is the bridge?
- What made the bridge historical?
- Where did the bad aggregate come from?
- Is this bridge very different from other bridges?
- Which other bridge has the ASR problem?
- Can the city calculate how much more the aggregate and material will expand under its current condition?
- Is the Los Angeles Conservancy only concerned about the steel arches or the entire bridge?
- The Conservancy should only focus on the iconic span of the bridge.
- The bridge does not have any really beautiful features.
- Make an extraordinary and creative design for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.
- New bridge design should create new opportunities for the future.

### Action Items:

No action items.



# City of Los Angeles

## The 6<sup>th</sup> St. Viaduct Improvement Project

AIA /LA Urban Design Presentation

Date: April 23, 2008 7:00 pm

Name	Address	City & Zip	Phone	Email	Title
Mike Buhler	LA Conservancy 523 W. 6 <sup>th</sup> St., #826	LA, CA 90014	213/430- 4203	mbuhler@ laconservancy.org	Director of Advocacy
JULIA <del>STEWART</del> STEWART	1916 E. 1 <sup>st</sup> St.	LA CA 90033	(213) 977-1730	jstewart@ cra.lacity. org	City Planner Eastside Region
Deborah Wentub	BOE				
BRIAN BARTHOLOMEW	1055 W. 7 <sup>th</sup> St #3150 LA CA 90017		213 236 2550	BRIAN, BARTHOLOMEW @STVINC.COM	PROJ. ARCHITECT
JOHN CRISTO	8360 WEST HOLLYWOOD SANTA MONICA CALIF WEST HOLLYWOOD 90069		323 840-649	JOHNS @WHD. ORG	URBAN DESIGNER



# City of Los Angeles

## The 6<sup>th</sup> St. Viaduct Improvement Project

AIA /LA Urban Design Presentation

Date: April 23, 2008 7:00 pm

Name	Address	City & Zip	Phone	Email	Title
JENNIFER GILL	2424 WILSHIRE BLVD.	90057 L.A. CA		gillj@metro.net jenetferr@pacbell.net	Consultant
Deborah Kahan		90017		deborah.kahan@ lacity.org	City planner
Amber Hawkes	523 W. 6th St.	LA. 90015	(213) 607-0070	ahawkes@ brtqallas.com	urban designer
Melani Smith	617 S. Olive St. 11 <sup>th</sup> Fl.	LA 90014	213/233-2846	msmith@ melendrez.com	Principal
Tony Chacon	617 S. OLIVE ST 11 <sup>th</sup> FL	LA 90014	213/673-4400	TCHACON @MELENDREZ .COM	SENIOR, ASSOC.
SCOTT BAKER	617 S. OLIVE ST. 11 <sup>th</sup> FL	LA 90014	213/233-2837	SBAKER@ MELENDREZ .COM	PRINCIPAL
Jeff Bingham	2201 Dupont Dr. Ste 200	Irvine, CA 92612	949/639322	Jeffrey.Bingham @parsons.com	PM/VP